UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,506	03/28/2001	Dennis Sunga Fernandez	FERN-P001D	8534
	7590 06/26/200 TRAURIG LLP (LA)	8	EXAMINER	
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			VO, TUNG T	
	SANTA MONICA, CA 90404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,506	FERNANDEZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tung Vo	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20 and 38-63 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-19 and 21-37 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20 and 38-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers	e withdrawn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2011.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/823,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/21/2007 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20, 38-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanchett (US 5,396,429) in view of Mertens et al. (US 5,767,505).

Re claims 20, 38, 42, 45, 47, 50, 53, 56, 61, Hanchett teaches a surveillance system (fig. 1) comprising: a processor (112 of fig. 4), coupled to a network (86 and 104 of fig. 4, a wireless network or satellite location), configured to receive from a database a representation of an identity and a location of at least one object (18 of fig. 4, mobile unit is considered one object, where the broadcast identification signal is used to determine the geographic or relative position of the user unit to the images being displayed and the look-ahead alert signal is provided at the appropriate time (fig. 5));

a mobile communications unit (86 and 104 of fig. 4), physically associated with the at least one object (18 of fig. 1), operable to receive data from a monitor station (14 of fig. 1; see details of figure 4) monitoring data associated with the movement of the at least one object (fig. 5),

wherein the mobile communications unit (82 and 86 of fig. 4) couples wirelessly to the network for communication with the processor (112 of fig. 4) and a first detector (14 of fig. 1, image sensor and speed sensor), coupled to the network (12 of fig. 1), activated to observe the at least one object (fig. 5) when the processor (112 of fig. 4) determines the at least one object (18 of fig. 1), to be located within an observation range of the first detector (38 of fig. 2), wherein the first detector is configured to automatic ally hand-off the observation of the at least one object (the first detector, 38 of fig. 2, is located at remote location) to a second detector (40 of fig. 1, located approximate one mile apart from the first detector) in an observation range of the at least one object (18 of fig. 1).

It is noted that Hanchett does not particularly teach a GPS device for locating a movement object; and wherein the at least one object is authenticated according to a voice pattern or a magnetic or smart-card signal as claimed.

However, Mertens teaches a GPS device for locating a movement object (col. 1, lines 39-42), wherein the at least one object is authenticated according to a voice pattern or a magnetic or smart-card signal (28 of fig. 2).

Therefore, taking the teachings of Hanchett and Mertens as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the GPS system of Mertens into the surveillance of Hanchett to accurately determine the movement at least one movement object.

Re claim 39, Hanchett further teaches wherein the second detector is a neighbor of the first detector (14 of fig. 1).

Re claim 40, Hanchett further teaches wherein the second detector is activated responsive to the processor determining that the at least one object will be traveling from an observation range of the first detector to an observation range of the second detector (14 of fig. 1, and fig. 5).

Re claim 41, Hanchett wherein the mobile communications unit (82 and 86 of fig. 4) generates a position signal (96 of fig. 4, note A user display unit displays images corresponding to the image signals in the segments along with the identification code so that a user can correlate the images displayed with the geographical position at which they were created), when the at least one object moves within the observation range of the first detector (14 of fig. 1, the mobile unit is in observation range of the monitor station (14 of fig. 1)).

Re claim 43, Hanchett further teaches wherein the processor is further configured to receive from the database object information selected from a group consisting of an object name, an object identifier, an object group, an object query, an object condition, an object status, an object location, an object time, an object error, and an object image, video, or audio broadcast signal (112 of fig. 4, note the receivers of the mobile user units (18 of fig. 1) can receive this separately broadcast identification signal, compare it to the identification signal associated with the images presently being received).

Re claim 44, Hanchett further teaches wherein the at least one object is monitored using an extrapolated or last-stored positional or visual signal (116 and 118 of fig. 4).

Re claim 46, Hanchett further teaches wherein an electronic file comprising a recorded or live voice or music transmission is provided to the at least one object via the network (102 of fig. 4, a sound signal to an audio amplifier 102 of fig. 4).

Re claim 50, Hanchett further teaches some such CRT monitors operate on 12 volts dc, are currently available, and are used in recreational vehicles and trucks, this would suggest that the mobile unit would obviously be a truck or vehicle that has an accelerometer.

Re claims 55 and 63, Hanchett further teaches wherein the processor confirms the identity of the object by processing a visual image of the object using adaptive or neural learning software to recognize such object automatically (112 of fig. 4, note The character generator 120 is used by the central processing unit 112 to provide visual data to the user by means of the user display, such as an overlay of the monitor station identification presently being viewed).

Re claims 48-49, 51-54, see analysis in claims 39-41, 43-44, and 46; Re claims 57-60, 61, see analysis in claims 39-41, 43-44, and 46.

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Spiess (US 5,652,705) discloses highway traffic accident avoidance system.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,506 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tung Vo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621